arXiv:1404.1549v3 [math.CO] 13 Sep 2015

BOX COMPLEX AND KRONECKER DOUBLE COVERING

TAKAHIRO MATSUSHITA

Abstract. The box complex $B(G)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset associated with a graph G, which was introduced in the context of the graph coloring problem. We study the poset structure of box complex. Our main theorem states that, up to isolated vertices, the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset structure determines the original graph, and the poset structure determines its Kronecker double covering. Applying this, we have graphs which have the same box complexes as posets but have different chromatic numbers. We also mention the case of Lovász's neighborhood complex $N(G)$.

1. Introduction

The graph coloring problem is one of the most classical problems in graph theory. The application of algebraic topology to the problem started with Lovász's celebrated proof of the Kneser conjecture [11]. He introduced neighborhood complex of a graph and related its connectivity to the chromatic number. After that many complexes constructed from graphs have been considered.

Box complex is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-space associated to a graph. It was first introduced in Alon, Frankl, and Lovász [1] for hypergraphs. However, as was discussed in Section 5 of [13], various box complexes have been considered and they are not even homotopy equivalent. (Comparisons of these complexes are discussed in Csorba [5] and Živaljević [18]). The box complex $B(G)$ which we deal with is the following type, which was originally considered by Kříž [9] and is isomorphic to the Hom complex $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$ researched by many authors [2], [3], [6], [14]. Namely, our box complex $B(G)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset

$$B(G) = \{(\sigma, \tau) \mid \sigma \text{ and } \tau \text{ are non-empty subsets of } G \text{ and } \sigma \times \tau \subset E(G).\}$$

whose ordering is defined by $(\sigma, \tau) \leq (\sigma', \tau') \Leftrightarrow \sigma \subset \sigma'$ and $\tau \subset \tau'$, and whose involution is given by $(\sigma, \tau) \leftrightarrow (\tau, \sigma)$. It is known that the neighborhood complex and the box complex are homotopy equivalent [2].

It is well-known that a certain $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homotopy invariant of $B(G)$, called the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-index, gives a lower bound for the chromatic number [13]. However, although homotopy types of the above complexes have been actively researched, there are few works concerning their rigid structures. The following is the main result of this paper which relates the poset structure of a box complex and the simplicial structure of a neighborhood complex to the Kronecker double covering. All needed definitions will be given in Section 2.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G, H be graphs without isolated vertices. The following hold.*

(1) *The double coverings $K_2 \times G$ and $K_2 \times H$ are isomorphic as bipartite graphs if and only if $B(G)$ and $B(H)$ are isomorphic as posets.*

(2) *G and H are isomorphic if and only if $B(G)$ and $B(H)$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{Z}_2$-posets.*
(3) *If $K_2 \times G$ and $K_2 \times H$ are isomorphic as bipartite graphs then $N(G)$ and $N(H)$ are isomorphic. If G and H are locally finite stiff graphs then the converse also holds.*

Here the notation "$\times$" denotes the tensor product of graphs, and the graph $K_2 \times G$ is known as the Kronecker double covering, or canonical double covering of a graph G (see [7] and [17]).

Theorem 1.1 can be applied to the following problem: how does the (equivariant, or non-equivariant) topology of box complex determine the chromatic number? In fact Lovász asked that some topological invariant of neighborhood complex is equivalent to the chromatic number [11]. In particular, he asked that the homology or homotopy group with the dimension $\chi(G) - 2$ is not trivial. However, Walker negatively answered the latter problem by constructing a graph with arbitrarily large chromatic number whose neighborhood complex is homotopy equivalent to a 1-dimensional CW-complex [16]. Since any 1-dimensional CW-complex can be realized (up to homotopy) as the neighborhood complex of a wedge sum of K_3, this result states that any non-equivariant "homotopy" invariant of neighborhood (or box) complex is not equivalent to the chromatic number.

In this paper we will apply Theorem 1.1 to construction of graphs whose chromatic numbers are different but whose box complexes are isomorphic as posets. Indeed, as will be seen in Example 4.13, one can construct finite connected graphs G and H whose Kronecker double coverings are isomorphic but their chromatic numbers are different. More precisely we prove the following.

Proposition 1.2. *Let m, n be integers greater than 2. Then there are finite connected graphs G and H such that $\chi(G) = m$ and $\chi(H) = n$, but their box complexes are isomorphic as posets, and their neighborhood complexes are isomorphic.*

As was noted in Lovász [11] it can be easily seen that for a connected graph G, the neighborhood complex $N(G)$ (or the box complex $B(G)$) is connected if and only if G is not bipartite. The above theorem asserts that k-colorablity for $k \geq 3$ is not a topological invariant of the box complex.

Next consider the equivariant case. Theorem 1.1.(2) implies that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset structure determines the graph up to isolated vertices, and hence the chromatic number. On the other hand, Walker [16] constructed graphs G_1 and G_2 such that their box complexes are $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homotopy equivalent but their chromatic numbers are different. It is still open whether the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homeomorphism type of box complex determines the chromatic number.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we review relevant definitions and facts. Section 3 is devoted to a brief exposition of Kronecker double coverings. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2. In Section 5 we consider the case of another box complex introduced in Matoušek and Ziegler [13].

Acknowledgement. The author would like to express his gratitude to Mikio Furuta and Toshitake Kohno for his insightful comments. The author is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI No. 25-4699) and the Grant-in-Aid for JSPS fellows. This work was supported by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we review definitions and facts in topological combinatorics. For a concrete introduction to the subject, we refer to the textbook [8].

A graph is a pair $G = (V(G), E(G))$ consisting of a set $V(G)$ with a symmetric subset $E(G)$ of $V(G) \times V(G)$. Hence our graphs are non-directed, have no parallel edges, but may have loops. A graph homomorphism $f : G \to H$ between graphs is a map $f : V(G) \to V(H)$ which satisfies $(f \times f)(E(G)) \subset E(H)$. Let K_n denote the complete graph with n-vertices, namely, $V(K_n) = \{1, \cdots, n\}$ and $E(K_n) = \{(x, y) \mid x \neq y\}$. The chromatic number of G is formulated as the number

$$\chi(G) = \inf\{n \geq 0 \mid \text{There exists a graph homomorphism } G \to K_n.\}.$$

Here we shall assume that the infimum of the empty set is $+\infty$. A bipartite graph is a graph G with $\chi(G) \leq 2$.

The (tensor or categorical) product of graphs G and H is defined by $V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$ and

$$E(G \times H) = \{((x, y), (x', y')) \mid (x, x') \in E(G), (y, y') \in E(H)\}.$$

An (abstract) simplicial complex is a pair (V, Δ) consisting of a set V with a family Δ of finite subsets of V which we require the following.

- $v \in V$ implies $\{v\} \in \Delta$.
- $\sigma \in \Delta$ and $\tau \subset \sigma$ imply $\tau \in \Delta$.

We call the set V the vertex set. We often abbreviate (V, Δ) to Δ, and in this notation, denote the vertex set by $V(\Delta)$. A simplicial map $f : \Delta \to \Delta'$ between simplicial complexes is a map $f : V(\Delta) \to V(\Delta')$ such that $\sigma \in \Delta$ implies $f(\sigma) \in \Delta'$.

Let P be a partially ordered set (poset, for short). When we regard a subset of P as a subposet, we shall consider its ordering as the induced ordering by P. A subset c of P is a chain if its induced ordering is total. All finite chains of P form a simplicial complex $\Delta(P)$, and we call it the order complex.

There is a well-known functor from the category of simplicial complexes to the category of topological spaces, called the geometric realization. The precise definition is found in Section 2.2 of [8]. We write $|P|$ to indicate that the geometric realization of the order complex $\Delta(P)$. The geometric realization functor allows us to assign topological terminology to posets and simplicial complexes. For example, we say that an order preserving map $f : P \to Q$ is a homotopy equivalence if its geometric realization $|f| : |P| \to |Q|$ is a homotopy equivalence.

For a simplicial complex Δ, the face poset $F\Delta$ is the set of non-empty simplices of Δ ordered by inclusion. It is known that $|F\Delta|$ and $|\Delta|$ are homeomorphic.

The following theorem is often called Quillen's lemma A. The proof is found in [4] and [15]. The proof for finite posets is found in [8], but we will use the infinite case.

Theorem 2.1 (Quillen [15])**.** *Let $f : P \to Q$ be an order preserving map. If $f^{-1}(Q_{\leq x})$ is contractible for all $x \in Q$ then f is a homotopy equivalence.*

The neighborhood complex $N(G)$ is the simplicial complex whose vertex is a non-isolated vertex of G and whose simplex is a finite subset included in the neighborhood of some vertex of G.

The box complex $B(G)$ is the poset whose underlying set is

$$\{(\sigma, \tau) \mid \sigma \text{ and } \tau \text{ are non-empty subsets of } G \text{ with } \sigma \times \tau \subset E(G).\}$$

with the inclusion $(\sigma, \tau) \leq (\sigma', \tau')$. It is known that $N(G)$ and $B(G)$ are homotopy equivalent (see Section 4.1 of Babson-Kozlov [2] or Section 5 of Živaljević [18]). The box complex $B(G)$ has the natural involution given by $(\sigma, \tau) \leftrightarrow (\tau, \sigma)$ whereas $N(G)$ does not. From now on we regard $B(G)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset.

A graph G is stiff if for a pair of vertices v, w of G, $N(v) \subset N(w)$ implies $v = w$. Stiff graphs were studied by Dochtermann [6] in his research on Hom complex which is a generalization of the box complex.

3. Kronecker double covering

In this section we shall review the theory of Kronecker double covering. Most of the results mentioned here are essentially known (see [7]). But we formulate the theory, introducing the viewpoint of "2-colored graphs". For the sake of our treatment, we have a simple description of the theory such as Theorem 3.1.

We now recall the definition of Kronecker double covering. A covering over G is a graph homomorphism $p : X \to G$ such that $f|_{N(x)} : N(x) \to N(f(x))$ is bijective for all $x \in V(X)$. Then one can show that the second projection $K_2 \times G \to G$ is a covering. We call this covering the Kronecker double covering over G.

If a graph G is bipartite then its Kronecker double covering is the direct sum $G \sqcup G$. If G is not bipartite and connected, then its Kronecker double covering is connected. These facts are well-known and easily checked.

Throughout the paper we use the terms "bipartite graph" and "2-colored graph" to indicate different notions. As was mentioned in Section 2, a bipartite graph is a graph X such that there is a graph homomorphism from X to K_2. On the other hand a 2-colored graph is a pair (X, ε) consisting of a bipartite graph X with a graph homomorphism $\varepsilon : X \to K_2$. A homomorphism between 2-colored graphs $f : (X, \varepsilon_X) \to (Y, \varepsilon_Y)$ is a graph homomorphism $f : X \to Y$ such that $\varepsilon_Y \circ f = f \circ \varepsilon_X$. By abuse of notation, we often write "X is a 2-colored graph".

The category of graphs whose morphisms are graph homomorphisms is denoted by $\mathcal{G}$. If we use the language of category theory [12], a 2-colored graph is a graph over K_2, and a 2-colored graph homomorphism is a morphism between graphs over K_2. So we write $\mathcal{G}_{/K_2}$ to indicate the category of 2-colored graphs.

Let X be a 2-colored graph. An *odd involution* τ of X is a graph homomorphism $\tau : X \to X$ such that $\varepsilon_X \circ \tau(x) \neq \varepsilon_X(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Note that this involution is not a morphism in $\mathcal{G}_{/K_2}$ if X is not empty.

Consider a pair (X, τ) consisting of a 2-colored graph X with an odd involution τ of X. A graph homomorphism $f : X \to Y$ between such pairs (X, τ_X) and (Y, τ_Y) is equivariant if $\tau_Y \circ f = f \circ \tau_X$. Let $\mathcal{G}^{odd}_{/K_2}$ denote the category whose objects are 2-colored graphs with odd involutions, and whose morphisms are equivariant 2-colored homomorphisms.

Note that for a graph G, the Kronecker double covering $K_2 \times G$ is naturally 2-colored by the first projection, and the involution $(1, x) \leftrightarrow (2, x)$ is an odd involution. This gives a functor $K_2 \times (-) : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}^{odd}_{/K_2}$. On the other hand, the correspondence $(X, \tau) \mapsto X/\tau$ gives a functor from $\mathcal{G}^{odd}_{/K_2} \to \mathcal{G}$. Here X/τ denotes the quotient graph defined by

$$V(X/\tau) = \{\{x, \tau(x)\} \mid x \in V(X)\},$$

$$E(X/\tau) = \{(\alpha, \beta) \mid (\alpha \times \beta) \cap E(X) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

In terms of these notions, we can formulate the following theorem. The proof is straight forword and is abbreviated.

Theorem 3.1. *Above two functors give categorical equivalences between $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{odd}_{/K_2}$.*

In particular, there is a natural isomorphism $X \cong K_2 \times (X/\tau)$ for an object (X, τ) of $\mathcal{G}^{odd}_{/K_2}$.

Next we consider the case of bipartite graphs. Let $\mathcal{G}_{K_2}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathcal{G}$ consisting of bipartite graphs. An involution $\tau : X \to X$ of a bipartite graph X is said to be odd (or a polarity) if for each $x \in V(X)$, there is no path with even length joining x to $\tau(x)$. If X is 2-colored and τ is an odd involution in the 2-colored sense, then τ is an odd involution in the bipartite sense.

In a similar way, we can define the category $\mathcal{G}^{odd}_{K_2}$ whose object is a pair (X, τ) of a bipartite graph X with an odd involution τ, and whose morphism is a equivariant graph homomorphism. Moreover, the Kronecker double covering gives a functor $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}^{odd}_{K_2}$ and the quotient $(X, \tau) \mapsto X/\tau$ gives a functor $\mathcal{G}^{odd}_{K_2} \to \mathcal{G}$. However, these functors are *not* categorical equivalences. Indeed, for an object (X, τ) of $\mathcal{G}^{odd}_{K_2}$, the involution $\tau : X \to X$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{G}_{K_2}$ which induces the identity $X/\tau \to X/\tau$.

However, one can easily show that if (X, τ) is an object of $\mathcal{G}^{odd}_{K_2}$, then there is a 2-coloring $\varepsilon : X \to K_2$ such that the involution τ is an odd involution of the 2-colored graph (X, ε). In other words the forgetful functor $\mathcal{G}^{odd}_{/K_2} \to \mathcal{G}^{odd}_{K_2}$ is essentially surjective. Hence for an object (X, τ) of $\mathcal{G}^{odd}_{K_2}$, there is an isomorphism $X \cong K_2 \times (X/\tau)$, but this is not natural.

Note that $K_2 \times G \cong K_2 \times H$ does not imply $G \cong H$. In fact Imrich and Pisanski [7] show that the Desargues graph is not only the Kronecker double covering over the Peterson graph but is also the Kronecker double covering over the graph which is not isomorphic to the Peterson graph. In Example 4.13 we will construct graphs having different chromatic numbers but having the same Kronecker double coverings.

We conclude this section with the following theorem. This may be classically known among experts, but I could not find it.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that G is connected and non-bipartite. If $p : X \to G$ is a double covering such that X is bipartite then X is the Kronecker double covering over G.*

Proof. Since X is bipartite and G is non-bipartite, X is not a trivial double covering. Hence X is connected. Define the involution $\tau : X \to X$ by $p \circ \tau = p$ and $\tau(x) \neq x$ for $x \in V(X)$. Then p induces the isomorphism $X/\tau \to G$. Since G is not bipartite and X is connected, the involution τ is odd. □

4. Complexes of bipartite graphs

In this section we shall prove Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2. Theorem 1.1 is deduced from Corollary 4.3, Corollary 4.5, Proposition 4.6 and Corollary 4.12. Proposition 1.2 is deduced from Theorem 1.1.(1) and Example 4.13.

First we discuss the relation between the box complex and the Kronecker double covering. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the category of posets, and let $\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ be the category of $\mathbb{Z}_2$-posets. The box complex gives a functor $\mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$. We first construct a functor

$B_0 : \mathcal{G}_{K_2} \to \mathcal{P}$ which commutes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{B} & \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \\ {\scriptstyle K_2\times(-)}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{G}_{K_2} & \xrightarrow{B_0} & \mathcal{P} \end{array}$$

where the right vertical arrow is the forgetful functor. If this is done, for graphs G, H with $K_2 \times G \cong K_2 \times H$ as bipartite graphs, we have the poset isomorphisms

$$B(G) \cong B_0(K_2 \times G) \cong B_0(K_2 \times H) \cong B(H).$$

Definition 4.1. Let X be a bipartite graph. We define the poset $B_0(X)$ as follows. The underlying set of $B_0(X)$ is the set

$$\{\{\sigma, \tau\} \mid \sigma \text{ and } \tau \text{ are non-empty subsets of } V(X) \text{ such that } \sigma \times \tau \subset E(X).\}.$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in B_0(X)$, we write $\alpha \leq \beta$ if for each $\sigma \in \alpha$ there is $\tau \in \beta$ with $\sigma \subset \tau$. Since a bipartite graph does not have looped vertices, we have that $B_0(X)$ is a poset.

Let (X, ε) be a 2-colored graph. Set $A = \varepsilon^{-1}(1)$ and $B = \varepsilon^{-1}(2)$. Then the poset $B_0(X)$ is isomorphic to the induced subposet

$$\{(\sigma, \tau) \in B(X) \mid \sigma \subset A, \tau \subset B\}$$

of the box complex $B(X)$.

Proposition 4.2. *For a graph G, there is a natural isomorphism $B(G) \cong B_0(K_2 \times G)$ as $\mathbb{Z}_2$-posets. Here we consider $B_0(K_2 \times G)$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset by the involution of $K_2 \times G$.*

Proof. Consider the correspondence

$$\Phi : B(G) \to B_0(K_2 \times G), (\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \{\sigma \times \{1\}, \tau \times \{2\}\}.$$

Clearly Φ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant poset map. So it suffices to prove that Φ is an isomorphism as posets. Now we regard $B_0(G)$ as the induced subposet of the box complex $B(G)$ by the first projection $K_2 \times G \to K_2$ (see the previous paragraph of this proposition). Then Φ is rewritten as the map $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto (\sigma \times \{1\}, \tau \times \{2\})$. It is easy to see that the map

$$\Psi : B_0(K_2 \times G) \to B(G), (\sigma, \tau) \mapsto (p_1(\sigma), p_1(\tau))$$

is the inverse of Φ. □

Corollary 4.3. *Let G, H be graphs. If $K_2 \times G \cong K_2 \times H$ as bipartite graphs then $B(G) \cong B(H)$ as posets.*

Next we consider the converse of Corollary 4.3.

Proposition 4.4. *Let X, Y be bipartite graphs without isolated vertices. Then $B_0(X) \cong B_0(Y)$ implies $X \cong Y$.*

Proof. Let $f : B_0(X) \to B_0(Y)$ be an isomorphism of posets. Let $x \in V(X)$. We assert that $f(\{\{x\}, N(x)\})$ is written as $\{\{y\}, N(y)\}$ for some $y \in V(H)$. To prove this we need some preparation.

An element x of a poset P has a finite level if there is a non-negative integer n such that a chain $x_0 < \cdots < x_k$ with $x_k \leq x$ and $k > n$ does not exist. Consider the following condition concerning an element x of a poset P.

(∗) For an element y of $P_{\leq x}$ with finite level, the poset $P_{\leq y}$ is isomorphic to the face poset of a finite dimensional simplex.

Clearly $\{\{x\}, N(x)\} \in B_0(X)$ satisfies the condition (∗). Moreover $\{\{x\}, N(x)\}$ is characterized as a maximal element among points satisfying the condition (∗). This implies that $f(\{\{x\}, N(x)\}) = \{\{y\}, N(y)\}$ for some $y \in V(Y)$.

Define the graph homomorphism $g : X \to Y$ as follows. Let $x \in V(X)$. If $\#N(x) > 1$ then define $g(x)$ by $f(\{x, N(x)\}) = \{g(x), N(g(x))\}$. Suppose $N(x) = \{x'\}$. If $\#N(x') > 1$ then define $g(x)$ by $f(\{\{x\}, \{x'\}\}) = \{g(x), g(x')\}$. (Note that we have already defined $g(x')$.) Suppose that $N(x') = \{x\}$, namely, x and x' form a connected component isomorphic to K_2. In this case define $g(x), g(x')$ simultaneously to satisfy $\{g(x), g(x')\} = f(\{\{x\}, \{x'\}\})$.

Now we show that g is actually a graph homomorphism. Let $(x, x') \in E(X)$. It is clear that $(g(x), g(x')) \in E(Y)$ if $\#N(x) = 1$ or $\#N(x') = 1$. Suppose $\#N(x) > 1$ and $\#N(x') > 1$. Then

$$B_0(X)_{\leq \{\{x\}, N(x)\}} \cap B_0(X)_{\leq \{\{x'\}, N(x')\}} \neq \emptyset,$$

and hence

$$B_0(Y)_{\leq \{\{g(x)\}, N(g(x))\}} \cap B_0(Y)_{\leq \{\{g(x')\}, N(g(x'))\}} \neq \emptyset.$$

This implies that $(g(x), g(x')) \in E(Y)$.

Construct the graph homomorphism $h : Y \to X$ from $f^{-1} : B_0(Y) \to B_0(X)$ in a similar way. Indeed gh and hg may not be the identities. (Recall that the homomorphism g is not uniquely determined on the connected components isomorphic to K_2.) However, they become the identities after flipping some of connected components isomorphic to K_2. Hence g is an isomorphism. □

Combining Proposition 4.2 and Proposition 4.4 we have the following.

Corollary 4.5. *Let G and H be graphs having no isolated vertices. If $B(G) \cong B(H)$ as posets, then $K_2 \times G \cong K_2 \times H$ as graphs.*

Next we show that the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset structure of $B(G)$ determines the graph G.

Proposition 4.6. *Let G and H be graphs having no isolated vertices. If $B(G) \cong B(H)$ as $\mathbb{Z}_2$-posets then $G \cong H$ as graphs.*

Proof. Let X and Y be bipartite graphs without isolated vertices and let τ_X, τ_Y be odd involutions of X, Y respectively. Suppose that there is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset isomorphism $f : B_0(X) \to B_0(Y)$. From the discussion in Section 3, it is enough to show that there is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant isomorphism $X \to Y$.

Let $g : X \to Y$ be the homomorphism constructed in the proof of Proposition 4.4. Let $x \in V(X)$ with $\#N(x) > 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \{\{g(\tau_X x)\}, N(g(\tau_X x))\} &= f(\{\{\tau_X x\}, N(\tau_X x)\}) \\ &= \tau_X f(\{\{x\}, N(x)\}) \\ &= \{\{\tau_X g(x)\}, N(\tau_X g(x))\} \end{aligned}$$

implies $g(\tau_X x) = \tau_X g(x)$. Next suppose $\#N(x) = \{x'\}$ and $\#N(x') > 1$. Then we have

$$\{\{g(\tau_X x)\}, \{g(\tau_X x')\}\} = f(\{\{\tau_X x\}, \{\tau_X x'\}\}) = \tau_X f(\{\{x\}, \{x'\}\}) = \tau_X \{\{g(x)\}, \{g(x')\}\}.$$

Since we have already proved $g(\tau_X x') = \tau_X g(x')$, we have $\tau_X g(x) = g(\tau_X x)$.

Suppose that $N(x) = \{x'\}$ and $N(x') = \{x\}$. If $\{x, x'\}$ is a fixed point of $B_0(X)$, then $\tau_X(x) = x'$ and $\tau_X(x') = x$. Since $f(\{x, x'\}) = \{g(x), g(x')\}$ is also a fixed point, we have that $\tau_X g(x) = g(x') = g(\tau_X x)$ and $\tau_X g(x') = g(x) = g(\tau_X x')$. Suppose that $\{x, x'\}$ is not a fixed point of $B_0(X)$. Then set $y = \tau_X(x)$ and $y' = \tau_X(x')$. If $\tau_X g(x) \neq g(\tau_X x)$, then we replace $g \circ \varphi$ to g where $\varphi : X \to X$ is the group isomorphism exchange x and x' and fixing the other elements. Then we have $g(\tau_X v) = \tau_X g(v)$ for $v = x, x', y, y'$. After these modifications, we have a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant isomorphism $g : X \to Y$. (If X is infinite one needs transfinite induction.) □

Next we discuss the case of neighborhood complexes. Let X be a 2-colored graph with a 2-coloring $\varepsilon : X \to K_2$. Define $N_i(X)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ to be the induced subcomplex of $N(X)$ whose vertex set is $\varepsilon^{-1}(i) \cap V(N(X))$. In general, $N_1(X)$ and $N_2(X)$ are not isomorphic but the following holds.

Lemma 4.7. *Let X be a 2-colored graph. Then $B_0(X)$, $N_1(X)$, and $N_2(X)$ are homotopy equivalent.*

Proof. Recall that we can regard $B_0(X)$ as the poset $\{(\sigma, \tau) \in B(X) \mid \sigma \subset \varepsilon^{-1}(1), \tau \subset \varepsilon^{-1}(2)\}$. Let $B_0^f(X)$ be the induced subposet of $B_0(G)$ whose underlying set is

$$\{(\sigma, \tau) \in B(X) \mid \sigma \text{ and } \tau \text{ are finite.}\}.$$

Then the inclusion $B_0^f(X) \hookrightarrow B(X)$ is a homotopy equivalence by the Quillen's lemma A (see Section 2).

We only give the proof of $B_0^f(X) \simeq N_1(X)$ since $B_0^f(X) \simeq N_2(X)$ is similarly proved. Let $p : B_0^f(X) \to FN_1(X)$ denote the 1st projection $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \sigma$. It suffices to prove that p is a homotopy equivalence.

Fix $\sigma_0 \in FN_1(X)$. Define the map $c : p^{-1}(FN_1(X)_{\geq \sigma_0}) \to p^{-1}(FN_1(X)_{\geq \sigma_0})$ by $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto (\sigma_0, \tau)$. Then $c^2 = c$ and $c \leq \mathrm{id}$, c gives rise to a homotopy equivalence from $p^{-1}(N_1(X)_{\geq \sigma_0})$ to

$$c(p^{-1}(FN_1(X)_{\geq \sigma_0})) = \{(\sigma_0, \tau) \mid \#\tau < +\infty, \sigma_0 \times \tau \subset E(X)\}.$$

One can easily show that the latter is contractible. □

On the other hand the following holds, whose proof is trivial.

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose that the 2-colored graph X admits an odd involution. Then $N_1(X)$ and $N_2(X)$ are isomorphic. Hence for a graph G, we have that $N_1(K_2 \times G) \cong N_2(K_2 \times G) \cong N(G)$.*

Proposition 4.9. *Let G and H be graphs. If $K_2 \times G \cong K_2 \times H$ as bipartite graphs then $N(G) \cong N(H)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(G) \sqcup N(G) &\cong N_1(K_2 \times G) \sqcup N_2(K_2 \times G) \cong N(K_2 \times G) \\ &\cong N(K_2 \times H) \cong N_1(K_2 \times H) \sqcup N_2(K_2 \times H) \cong N(H) \sqcup N(H). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $N(G) \cong N(H)$. □

Now we consider the converse of Proposition 4.9. Unfortunately the author could not prove the converse without adding assumptions. To give the precise statement, we recall the $\times$-homotopy theory established by Dochtermann [6].

Define the graph I_n ($n \geq 0$) by $V(I_n) = \{0, 1, \cdots, n\}$ and $E(I_n) = \{(x, y) \mid |x - y| \leq 1\}$. Two graph homomorphisms $f, g : G \to H$ are $\times$-homotopic if there are $n \geq 0$ and a graph homomorphism $K : G \times I_n \to H$ which satisfies $K(x, 0) = f(x)$ and $K(x, n) = g(x)$ for $x \in V(G)$. In this case we write $f \simeq_\times g$. A graph homomorphism $f : G \to H$ is a $\times$-homotopy equivalence if there is a graph homomorphism $h : H \to G$ such that $hf \simeq_\times \mathrm{id}_G$ and $fh \simeq_\times \mathrm{id}_H$.

If $f : G \to H$ is a $\times$-homotopy equivalence, then for each graph T the poset map $\mathrm{Hom}(T, G) \to \mathrm{Hom}(T, H)$ induced by f is a homotopy equivalence. Since our box complex is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Hom}(K_2, G)$, we have that a $\times$-homotopy equivalence gives rise to a homotopy equivalence between the box complexes or the neighborhood complexes. A graph homomorphism $f : G \to H$ between stiff graphs (see Section 2 for the definition) is a $\times$-homotopy equivalence if and only if f is an isomorphism.

Proposition 4.10. *Let G and H be non-empty locally finite graphs. If $N(G) \cong N(H)$ as simplicial complexes, then $K_2 \times G \simeq_\times K_2 \times H$.*

Proof. We can assume that G and H do not have isolated vertices. Let $f : N(G) \to N(H)$ be an isomorphism. Let $g : V(G) \to V(H)$ and $h : V(H) \to V(G)$ be maps which satisfy

$$f(N(x)) \subset N(g(x)),\ f^{-1}(N(y)) \subset N(h(x))\ (x \in V(G), y \in V(H)).$$

Note that such maps exist since G and H are locally finite. Define the graph homomorphisms $F : K_2 \times G \to K_2 \times H$ and $F' : K_2 \times H \to K_2 \times G$ by

$$F(1, x) = (1, f(x)), F(2, x) = (2, g(x)),$$
$$F'(1, y) = (1, f^{-1}(y)), F'(2, y) = (2, h(y)).$$

Then we have that $F'F(1, x) = (1, x)$ and $F'F(2, x) = (2, hg(x))$. Since $N(x) = f^{-1}f(N(x)) \subset f^{-1}(N(g(x))) \subset N(hg(x))$, we have that $N(v) \subset N(F'F(v))$ for each $v \in V(K_2 \times G)$. Applying Lemma 4.11, we have that $F'F \simeq_\times \mathrm{id}$. Similarly we can prove that $FF' \simeq_\times \mathrm{id}$. □

Lemma 4.11. *Let $f, g : G \to H$ be graph homomorphisms which satisfy $N(f(x)) \subset N(g(x))$ for all $x \in V(G)$. Then $f \simeq_\times g$.*

Proof. One can show that the map $H : V(G \times I_1) \to V(H \times I_1)$, $(x, 0) \mapsto f(x)$, $(x, 1) \mapsto g(x)$ is a graph homomorphism. □

Note that a graph G is stiff if and only if $K_2 \times G$ is stiff. Since the maps F and F' constructed in the proof of Proposition 4.10 preserve the 2-colorings of their Kronecker double coverings, we have the following.

Corollary 4.12. *Let G and H be locally finite stiff graphs having no isolated vertices. If $N(G) \cong N(H)$ as simplicial complexes then $K_2 \times G \cong K_2 \times H$ as 2-colored graphs.*

The author does not know that the above assertion holds without the assumption that the graphs are stiff and locally finite.

We conclude this section to prove Proposition 1.2. Let n, m be integers greater than 2. Our aim is to construct two graphs G and H with $K_2 \times G \cong K_2 \times H$ and $\chi(G) = n$ and $\chi(H) = m$.

Example 4.13. Set $X_1 = X_2 = K_2 \times K_n$ and $Y_1 = Y_2 = K_2 \times K_m$. Define the bipartite graph Z by identifying the following vertices of $X_1 \sqcup X_2 \sqcup Y_1 \sqcup Y_2$.

- The vertex $(1,1)$ of X_1 and the vertex $(1,1)$ of Y_1.
- The vertex $(2,1)$ of X_1 and the vertex $(1,1)$ of Y_2.
- The vertex $(1,1)$ of X_2 and the vertex $(2,1)$ of Y_1.
- The vertex $(2,1)$ of X_1 and the vertex $(2,1)$ of Y_2.

Next we define the odd involutions τ_1, τ_2 of Z. First τ_1 maps X_i to X_i for each i and $\tau_1|_{X_i}$ is the natural involution of $X_1 = X_2 = K_2 \times K_n$. On $Y_1 \sqcup Y_2$, the involution τ_1 exchanges Y_1 and Y_2, and is given by $V(Y_1) \ni (\varepsilon, x) \leftrightarrow (\varepsilon, x) \in V(Y_2)$. Similarly, τ_2 maps Y_i to Y_i for each i and $\tau_2|_{Y_i}$ is the natural involution of $K_2 \times K_m$. On $X_1 \sqcup X_2$, the involution τ_2 is given by $V(Y_1) \ni (\varepsilon, x) \leftrightarrow (\varepsilon, x) \in V(X_2)$.

Set $G = Z/\tau_1$ and $H = Z/\tau_2$. To complete the proof, we need to check $\chi(G) = n$ and $\chi(H) = m$. We only prove $\chi(G) = n$ since the other is similarly shown. However, it is enough to note that G is obtained by identifying the following vertices of $X_1' \sqcup X_2' \sqcup (K_2 \times K_m)$ (here X_1' and X_2' are the copies K_n).

- The vertex of $1 \in X_1'$ and the vertex of $(1,1)$ of $K_2 \times K_m$.
- The vertex of $1 \in X_2'$ and the vertex of $(2,1)$ of $K_2 \times K_m$.

Figure 1 discribes the graphs G, H, Z in the case $n = 4$ and $m = 3$. In this figure the involution τ_1 is the reflection in the horizontal line, and the involution τ_2 is the reflection in the vertical line.



The graph G.



The graph H.



The graph Z.

Figure 1.

5. Comparison with another definition

In this short section we shall discuss the case of another box complex $B'(G)$ discussed in Matoušek and Ziegler [13]. Namely, we consider that theorems similar to Theorem 1.1 holds for $B'(G)$.

We recall the definition of $B'(G)$. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-subcomplex $B'(G)$ of $N(G) * N(G)$ by

$$B'(G) = \{\sigma \uplus \tau \mid \sigma, \tau \in N(G), \sigma \cap \tau = \emptyset\}.$$

Here we write $\sigma \uplus \tau$ to indicate the subset $\sigma \times \{0\} \sqcup \tau \times \{1\}$ of $V(N(G) * N(G)) = V(N(G)) \times \{0, 1\}$. The involution is given by $(x, 0) \leftrightarrow (x, 1)$. There is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivariant inclusion $B(G) \hookrightarrow FB'(G)$, and Živaljević showed that this is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homotopy equivalence [18].

As we constructed $B_0(X)$ in the case of $B(X)$, we can define the complex $B'_0(X)$ for a bipartite graph X as follows. Let X be a bipartite graph. Fix a 2-coloring $\varepsilon : X \to K_2$. We define $B'_0(X)$ to be the subcomplex

$$B'_0(X) = \{\sigma \uplus \tau \mid \sigma \in N_1(X), \tau \in N_2(X), \sigma \times \tau \subset E(G)\}$$

of $N_1(X) * N_2(X)$. Clearly this definition does not depend on the choice of 2-colorings. Moreover, it is easy to see that the following holds.

Theorem 5.1. *There is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-poset isomorphism $B'_0(K_2 \times G) = B'(G)$ for a graph G.*

However, bipartite graphs described in Figure 2 have isomorphic $B'_0(X)$, and hence Proposition 4.4 fails for B'_0. I do not know that whether the assertion similar to Theorem 1.1 for $B'(X)$ holds or not.



Figure 2.

References

[1] N. Alon, P. Frankl, L. Lovász, *The chromatic number of Kneser hypergraphs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **298** (1986), no. 1, pp. 359-370

[2] E. Babson, D. N. Kozlov, *Complexes of graph homomorphisms,* Israel J. Math, **152**, 285-312 (2006)

[3] E. Babson, D. N. Kozlov, *Proof of the Lovász conjecture*, Ann. of math. **165** (3) 965-1007 (2007)

[4] A. Björner, *Topological Methods*, Handbook of Combinatorics, vol. 1,2, (eds. R. Graham, M. Gröthchel and L. Lovász), Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1819-1872 (1995)

[5] P. Csorba, *Homotopy types of box complexes,* Combinatorica, **27** (6):669-682 (2007)

[6] A. Dochtermann, *Hom complexes and homotopy theory in the category of graphs*, European J. Combin. **30** (2) 490-509 (2009)

[7] W. Imrich, T. Pisanski, *Multiple Kronecker covering graphs*, European J. Combin. **29** 1116-1122 (2008)

[8] D. N. Kozlov. *Combinatorial algebraic topology.* Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics. Vol. 21 Springer, Berlin. (2008)

[9] I. Kříž, *Equivariant cohomology and lower bounds for chromatic numbers*, Transactions Amer. Math. Soc. **33** 567-577 (1992)

[10] I. Kříž, *A correction to "Equivariant cohomology and lower bounds for chromatic numbers"*, Transactions Amer. Math. Soc. **352** 1951-1952 (2000)

[11] L. Lovász, *Kneser conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy.* J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, **25** (3):319-324 (1978)

[12] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics.* Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition (1998)

[13] J. Matoušek, G. M. Ziegler, *Topological lower bounds for the chromatic number: A hierarchy*, Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung **106** (2004) no. 2, 71-90

[14] C. Schultz, *Graph colorings, spaces of edges and spaces of circuits,* Adv. Math, **221** (6):1733-1756 (2009)
[15] D. Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory I,* Lecture Notes in Mathematics **341**, Springer, 85-147 (1973)
[16] J. W. Walker, *From graphs to ortholattices and equivariant maps*, J. Combin. Theory. Ser. B **35** (1983), 171-192.
[17] D. A. Waller, *Double covers of graphs*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **14** 233-248 (1976)
[18] R. T. Živaljević, *WI-posets, graph somplexes and $\mathbb{Z}_2$-equivalences*, J. Combin. Ser. A **111** (2005), no. 2, 204-223

E-mail address: mtst@ms.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Graduate School of Mathematical Science, the University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba Meguro-ku Tokyo 153-8914, Japan